LESLIE MITCHNER 194 Laurel Circle Princeton, NJ 08540

August 3, 2021

Glenn Grant, JAD Administrative Office of the Courts 25 Market Street—8th Floor Richard J Hughes Office Complex PO Box 037 Trenton, NJ 08625-0984



Dear Justice Grant:

I am writing to you because we have heard that New Jersey courts are considering continuing to use remote hearings for civil commitments. We strongly believe that this is a violation of people's fair hearing rights. The system is already impersonal and biased to an astonishing degree, even without adding a technological element to the mix. We know because we have been through it—repeatedly—when Benjamin was at Trenton Psychiatric Hospital. Remote hearings during which people are not face to face with each other strengthens the likelihood that someone will continue to be committed (or will be committed) whether they should be or not. It is easier to simply and literally cut someone off—just shut down the boxed picture or the audio or cut away to someone else. You cannot do that in person. Sitting in the same room with a judge and attorneys, and having a physical presence makes it slightly harder to simply dismiss the person who has been committed and should/or should not be released or the person who is to be committed.

Your general record is one of supporting those who need a voice—don't cut them off now. We are not any longer at the height of the pandemic when such procedures were understandable for health and safety reasons.

Leslie and Benjamin Mitchner

Broth

LESUE MITCH HARR
194 Laurel Circle
26 Laurel Circle

AUGUST 3, 2021

Gronn Grant, JAD
Administrative Office of the Courts
25 Market Street — 8th Floor
Richard I Hughes Office Complex
PO Box 037
Transcr



Bear Justice Grant

Lam writing to you because we neve hears that we wherey courts are considering continuing, so use remove hearings for civil communicants. We should believe that this is a violation of people's fair boaring right. The system is always imports and and biased to an astonishing degree, even without adding a technological clement to the mix. We know because we have been through it—repeatedly—when Henjamin was at Trenton Psychiatric Prospital. Remote hearings during which people are not face to five with each other strangthers the likely coeffithm someone with continue to be committed for will be committed) whether they should do or not it is coaler to simply and literally cut someone of — just shart down the boxed victure or the audio or our away to compose else. You cannot do has in person. Sitting in the same room with a judge and amoraty such away a physical presence make it should not be released or the person who is to be committed, and should or should not be released or the person who is to be committed.

Your concent record is one of supporting those who need a volton of their off flow. We are not any tonger at the bench of the concentre when such procedures were understandable for health and safety occous.

CM-Dic.

logic and Benjamin Mitchner