From:

Cohen, Harold G. <hcohen@dilworthlaw.com>

Sent:

Thursday, February 4, 2021 12:53 PM

To:

Comments Mailbox

Subject:

[External]Proposed changes to Voir Dire, text of Judges comments to jurors,

instructions, etc.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Judiciary organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Folks:-

Kudos on the significant efforts undertaken to modernize *Voir Dire*, judges' comments & guidance to jurors and instructions in order to enhance impartiality of jurors. A few modest comments and/or suggestions:

- 1. Jurors are to be initially instructed that everyone (unequivocally and without exception) has inherent biases and preconceptions. That is a starting unassailable assumption. Juror are subsequently directed to deliberate without implicit bias. The direction cannot be accomplished. Since we are all, apparently, indelibly imbued with bias and preconceptions, all that jurors can reasonably do is (during the trial and subsequent deliberation process) internally ask themselves if anything they hear or see is being filtered or impacted by implicit bias and/or preconceptions and to focus on setting those biases and preconceptions aside. Nothing more can be expected. If more is expected the new language creates an implicit basis for appeal. Since all jurors are informed that each is, in fact biased and subject to prejudice, the basis for appeal will be that the jurors obviously failed to overcome those inherent biases. The prefatory judicial comments and final jury instructions should be modified to urge jurors to simply do the best that they can to overcome any explicit or implicit biases. They need not be perfect in that regard; simply be internally honest and we will assume that your decision will reflect success in sincere efforts to render an impartial verdict.
- 2. It's time to speak English and not Latin. Why not change "voir dire" to "the inquiry?" The empaneling of the jury is based upon an inquiry of each juror to determine acceptability to serve in this case.
- 3. The text continuously uses he/she, etc. Each person should be identified by position (i.e. prosecutor, defense counsel) or by John Jones not defendant or plaintiff, by name without reference to sexual identity.
- 4. "Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury" is now also outdated. Perhaps: "Members of the Jury" or, as I like to identify a group of people, "Folks."

Continue with your fine work.

Respectfully,

HAROLD G. COHEN | DILWORTH PAXSON LLP 457 Haddonfield Road | Suite 700 | Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

Tel: (856) 675-1950 | Fax: (856) 663-8855

hcohen@dilworthlaw.com | www.dilworthlaw.com

www.DilworthLaw.com

This E-Mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Unintended transmission shall not constitute waiver of the attorney-client or any other privilege. If you have received this communication in error, please do not distribute it and notify us immediately by email: postmaster@dilworthlaw.com or via telephone: 215-575-7000 and delete the original message. Unless expressly stated in this e-mail, nothing in this message or any attachment should be construed as a digital or electronic signature or as a legal opinion.