

**From:** Richard Sparaco <Richard@sparaco.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 31, 2022 11:17 AM  
**To:** Comments Mailbox  
**Subject:** [External]JAILHOUSE INFORMANTS  
**Attachments:** n220131a.pdf

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Judiciary organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning

Why is the proposed Rule amendment limited to only "jailhouse" informants? Why isn't it applicable to "anyone", inside or outside a jail, "who lacks firsthand knowledge of a defendant's alleged criminal conduct but offers to testify for the State at a trial or hearing that the informant heard the defendant make inculpatory statements?" Should we just remove the "while detained or incarcerated in the same facility as the informant"?

I know that almost all of such witnesses are in the jail with the defendant. But what about a defendant who is out on bail and an acquaintance calls the prosecutor and says "hey, so and so just too me this and that, but I don't have firsthand knowledge of the criminal conduct?"

Just a thought.

Thanks for listening.

Regards,  
Rich Sparaco

Law Offices of Richard Sparaco  
1920 Fairfax Ave.  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08003-2007  
Tel: (856) 751-8888 ~ Fax: (856) 283-4160  
[richard@sparaco.com](mailto:richard@sparaco.com)  
[www.sparaco.com](http://www.sparaco.com)

Mail Correspondence and Items to:  
PO Box 371  
Lewes, DE 19958